Volume 1 Pages 1 - 16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ALSUP, JUDGE RAHINAH IBRAHIM, Plaintiff, VS.) NO. C 06-0545 WHA DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY, ET AL.,) San Francisco, California Defendants.) Friday November 6, 2009 2:31 p.m. TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS APPEARANCES: For Plaintiff: MCMANIS FAULKNER 50 West San Fernando Street 10th Floor San Jose, California 95113 BY: ELIZABETH PIPKIN, ESQ. CHRISTINE PEEK, ESQ. For Defendants John Bondonella and U.S. Investigations Services, Inc.: Arnold & Porter 275 Battery Street Suite 2700 San Francisco, California 94111-3823 BY: SHARON D. MAYO, ESQ. Reported by: BELLE BALL, CSR #8785, RMR, CRR Official Reporter, U.S. District Court (Appearances continued, next page)

APPEARANCES, CONTINUED:	
For the San Francisco De BY:	efendants: City and County of San Francisco Office of the City Attorney 1390 Market Street 7th Floor San Francisco, California 94102 RONALD P. FLYNN, ESQ. PETER J. KEITH, ESQ.
Reported by:	BELLE BALL, CSR #8785, RMR, CRR Official Reporter, U.S. District Court

1	FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 6, 2009 2:31 P.M.
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	THE COURT: This is Ibrahim versus Homeland Security.
4	06-545. Please make your appearances.
5	MS. PIPKIN: Good afternoon, Judge. Elizabeth
6	Pipkin, McManis Faulkner, on behalf of Plaintiff Ibrahim.
7	THE COURT: Say that name again.
8	MS. PIPKIN: Elizabeth Pipkin, from McManis Faulkner,
9	on behalf of Plaintiff Rahinah Ibrahim.
10	THE COURT: Okay, great. Welcome. And?
11	MS. PEEK: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Christine
12	Peek from McManis Faulkner.
13	THE COURT: Peek, okay. And?
14	MS. MAYO: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Sharon Mayo
15	from Arnold & Porter on behalf of Defendants John Bondonella
16	and U.S. Investigations Services, Inc.
17	THE COURT: Thank you.
18	MS. PIPKIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Ron Flynn,
19	City and County of San Francisco, on behalf of the
20	San Francisco Defendants.
21	MR. KEITH: And Your Honor, Peter Keith, also with
22	the San Francisco Defendants.
23	THE COURT: Keith?
24	MR. KEITH: Keith. K-E-I-T-H.
25	THE COURT: All right. And, is there anyone here

```
from Homeland Security?
 2
             MS. PIPKIN: I believe not, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Does this motion involve them?
 3
 4
             MS. PIPKIN: To the extent that the Department of
 5
   Homeland Security might have the ability to expedite the
 6
    granting of Ms. Ibrahim's visa, then it might, but they have
 7
    been silent on this issue.
              THE COURT: Who wants to take the deposition?
 8
 9
             MS. MAYO: The Defendants do, Your Honor, and --
10
              (Reporter interruption)
             MS. MAYO: The Defendants do, Your Honor. And, the
11
12
    Department of Homeland Security has been dismissed from the
1.3
    case.
              THE COURT: Oh. They're still --
14
15
             MS. MAYO: But there are no federal Defendants
16
   remaining in the case.
17
              THE COURT: Oh, that's right. How come I still have
18
    the caption saying "Department of Homeland Security"? We
19
    should change that.
              THE CLERK: Well --
2.0
2.1
              THE COURT: Since that's ancient history. Okay.
22
              Well, what's the issue? Tell me the issue. You want
   to do what, Ms. Mayo?
23
24
              MS. MAYO: Your Honor, we want to take Plaintiff's
25
   deposition because she brought this action, she filed it in
```

San Francisco. And we think her deposition --

2.0

THE COURT: How could she get into the country? She can't get into the country.

MS. MAYO: Well, she has a visa application pending, Your Honor. And at the time that her visa was revoked years ago, back in 2005, I believe it was, the letter that informed her of that fact also invited her to reapply for a visa at any time.

Your Honor, the Plaintiff's counsel and Plaintiff didn't apply for a visa until some time in September of this year, despite the fact that they have submitted a declaration in response to the Federal Defendants' motion to dismiss, going through at length why it was so important for Ms. Ibrahim to be able to travel to the United States, and to the Bay area in particular, for a multitude of personal and professional reasons. There was even, specifically, an October conference that was cited.

And, to respond to a point that Plaintiff's counsel made in their response to my letter, this is not an issue that we raised for the first time in September. In fact, we have been raising it for months and months. It's noted in the joint case management statement that we filed back in March.

So, the Defendants have always taken the position that if Plaintiff intends to pursue this case against the remaining Defendants and pursue it here in San Francisco, and

intend to show up at trial and to testify to the emotional 2 distress and humiliation that she allegedly suffered as a 3 result of this incident, then she needs to travel here, make 4 the necessary arrangements to travel here, so that we can take 5 that deposition. 6 Now, I understand that she has now, in fact, filed --7 or -- filed an application to get a visa and come here. And we think that we should not be prejudiced by that delayed 8 application, and that the discovery cutoff should be extended for the limited purpose of taking her deposition once she gets 10 that visa, once they rule on her visa application. 11 THE COURT: When will that happen? 12 1.3 MS. MAYO: Could be any day now, but I don't know. 14 None of the remaining Defendants have any control over that. 15 She was told at the time that she applied and had her 16 interview, that a response or an answer to her application 17 would be forthcoming, soon. 18 THE COURT: All right. Let's hear from the other 19 side. 2.0 MS. PIPKIN: Your Honor, we've done everything at 2.1 this point that we can to try to get Ms. Ibrahim into the 22 country. I think it goes without saying that she's not going to be able to travel here right now. We do not know when the 23 24 decision will be made on her visa application.

Typically, a deponent is -- a witness is deposed

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.1

22

23

24

25

either in the location of the action or in the place of his or her residence. Now, there are other things that could be done to try to make it easier on the Defendant. If they would like to do the deposition telephonically, for example, that's an option. At this point, though, I don't know what else we can do, other than to have the deposition in Malaysia, or to do some other type of compromise. THE COURT: When will she know on the visa? MS. PIPKIN: She was told it would be one to two weeks. And that was on September 29th. The -- she hasn't heard anything from the embassy, one way or the other. I have written the Department of Justice, the lawyers who represented the government in this case, to request their assistance. And, they have not responded to that request. I wrote them on September 29th --THE COURT: If she does get the visa, why wouldn't it be the fair thing for her to come here for the deposition? MS. PIPKIN: Oh, absolutely. She will come here. 2.0 That's why we've applied for the visa. **THE COURT:** So, what's the problem? MS. PIPKIN: I think the issue is we do not know if it will happen before the discovery cutoff. THE COURT: All right. This is easy. I'll extend

the cutoff. What is it now? What is the cutoff now?

1 MS. PIPKIN: November 30th. 2 THE COURT: All right. We will make it December 31, 3 for the limited purpose of taking her deposition, here in 4 San Francisco, whenever she gets the visa. So, you all work 5 out a date that works. 6 Why don't you tell -- write a letter to the Homeland 7 Security or whoever is doing the visa, and say the Judge wants 8 it to occur on -- I'm just picking a date -- November 30th. Does that work? 10 MS. PIPKIN: Judge, I have another hearing, an arbitration hearing that date. So if we could pick --11 THE COURT: All right. How about December 7th? 12 1.3 MS. PIPKIN: That's fine for me. 14 THE COURT: Okay. And, so that they need to not wait 15 until December 1st or 2nd to do it, because then we wouldn't 16 know for sure. But, could they please issue the visa, let's 17 say, by November 20th -- let's say, make it the 25th, so that 18 she will know in plenty of time and can make the airplane 19 reservations to come here. 2.0 We will extend the cutoff to allow that deposition to 2.1 go forward. All right? Does that solve that problem? 22 MS. PIPKIN: I believe so, Judge. 23 MS. MAYO: It does, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Great. All right. Here's the other 25 thing I would like to ask you about.

```
1
              I got a message from Judge James. And, it's not 100
   percent clear to me, but don't you have a motion coming up on
 2
 3
   privilege in about a week and a half or so, two weeks, maybe?
 4
             MS. PIPKIN: November 19th, for Plaintiff's motion,
 5
   yes.
 6
              THE COURT: All right. Well, they may overlap. I
 7
   may want to have them at the same time. But, I think I said
   don't ask for extension; maybe they do.
 8
 9
              Anyhow, is there somebody here who doesn't want the
   depositions taken until after? Some depositions of the
10
11
    officers? Explain that part to me.
12
             MR. KEITH:
                         Your Honor, I'm Peter Keith.
1.3
              THE COURT: Come up here, so they can hear you.
14
              (Request complied with by the Witness)
15
              MR. KEITH:
                          The San Francisco Defendants are going to
16
   make a motion this Monday, to be heard on November 25th,
17
   essentially for a protective order allowing them to disclose
18
   materials that the Federal Government has designated sensitive
19
    security information.
2.0
              THE COURT: I thought that was already the motion.
2.1
   What am I missing here? I thought you had already filed that
22
   motion.
23
              MR. KEITH: We filed a letter informing the Court --
24
              THE COURT:
                         Then I told you to file a formal motion.
25
              MR. KEITH:
                         By - by tomorrow, by --
```

```
1
              THE COURT:
                         I know. But, are there depositions that
   you want to postpone until after I rule on that?
 2
 3
              MR. KEITH:
                         From San Francisco's perspective, just
 4
    the officers' depositions. Because we have -- the purpose of
 5
    this motion is to allow the officers to testify fully about the
 6
    information and the directions they got that day.
 7
              THE COURT:
                         All right.
                         Without having to have two depositions.
 8
              MR. KEITH:
 9
              THE COURT: Was there any other depositions that hang
    on the -- on these documents?
10
                         Not for San Francisco.
11
              MR. KEITH:
12
              THE COURT: All right.
1.3
              MS. PIPKIN: The other depositions that may hang on
14
    these documents, Your Honor, there's a deposition that's been
15
   noticed for the ticket agent at United Airlines, who is saying
16
    that he cannot testify as to the sensitive security
    information.
17
18
              THE COURT: Is United still in the case?
19
              MS. PIPKIN: They're not a defendant, but this
2.0
   particular witness is the person who determined that
2.1
   Ms. Ibrahim was originally on the no-fly list.
22
              THE COURT: All right. Well, I think we've got to
23
   postpone -- I would think the sensible thing to do is postpone
24
    all of those until after I can rule on this motion.
25
              I hate to do that, because I don't like to give
```

```
extensions, but that's what I'm going to do, unless somebody
 2
   has a better idea.
 3
              MS. PIPKIN: (Shakes head)
 4
              THE COURT: So we will take those in December, too.
 5
    I'll extend the cutoff to December 24. So, like Bob Cratchit,
 6
    on December 24 you can be working hard on this case.
 7
             MS. PIPKIN: Judge, the expert --
              THE COURT: Go ahead.
 8
 9
             MS. PIPKIN: Definitely don't know how to respond to
10
   your laughing, Judge, but --
              THE COURT: You don't know who Bob Cratchit is?
11
12
             MS. PIPKIN: Yes.
1.3
              THE COURT: He's the guy in The Christmas Carol, the
14
    accountant who -- what was the name of his son? Something Tim,
15
    right? What's his name, Tiny Tim? Yeah. So, yeah, Bob
16
    Cratchit, and he's working on Christmas Eve, and Scrooge
17
    finally gives him the night off.
18
              But, we'll say December -- you can obviously do it
19
   before December 24. That will be the last day. Okay?
2.0
              MS. PIPKIN: Judge, the expert disclosure cutoff is
2.1
   also currently November 30th. In order for the experts,
22
    regarding the police --
23
              THE COURT: No, we'll extend that too. We'll extend
24
   that to December 31.
25
              Now, what else do we -- I don't know, I hate to
```

```
extend everything, but can you still do your summary judgment
   motions without -- it says the last date to file dispositive
 2
 3
   motions will be January 7th.
 4
             MR. FLYNN:
                         I think January 7th is tight, Your Honor.
 5
   Given that --
 6
              THE COURT: Look. You all just work out a schedule
 7
   that will try to keep the same trial date, and send it up to
 8
   me, that roughly slips the other dates by that.
 9
              But, don't slip the trial. All right?
             MS. PIPKIN: (Nods head)
10
              THE COURT: Otherwise, I may just go back to square
11
12
    one, and stick with my original schedule.
1.3
             MR. FLYNN:
                         Thank you, Your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: All right. So, submit that to me by
15
   Monday at noon.
16
             MS. PIPKIN: Okay.
17
              THE COURT: Please.
18
             MS. PIPKIN: Yes.
19
              THE COURT: Can you work out a system so that those
2.0
   two motions are heard on the earlier of the two dates?
2.1
              Who -- who was filing the other motion?
22
             MR. KEITH: The other motion was Plaintiff's motion.
23
    That's set for hearing on November 19th.
24
              THE COURT: Don't you have to get the -- Homeland
25
    Security in as a party to that?
```

1 MS. PIPKIN: (Nods head) 2 THE COURT: Are they willing to come in and -- how 3 are you going to get them into the case? 4 MS. PIPKIN: I believe -- the motion is based on 5 discovery requests that were issued to them at the time that 6 they were a party. 7 THE COURT: So this is like third -- oh, so they -but they will appear for that hearing. Is that true? 8 9 MS. PIPKIN: I have not confirmed that with them. I served the motion on them. And these are with respect to 10 discovery requests that they objected and withheld information 11 12 on when they were parties. 1.3 THE COURT: Is that good enough? I don't know. I 14 mean, do I reserve jurisdiction over them for purposes of that? 15 MS. PIPKIN: If it becomes an issue of needing to 16 issue a duplicate subpoena to what we already requested from 17 them, we can do that. 18 THE COURT: You might have to. I'm not relieving you 19 of the need possibly to have already done it. I am -- you 2.0 know, you have got to tee it up in the right way. Whether you 2.1 teed it up in the right way or not, I don't know. 22 But normally, when somebody is dismissed from the 23 case, they're not still in the case for discovery purposes. 24 They're out, totally. But, I have had one case where I 25 reserved jurisdiction for this type of problem. But, I don't

remember if I did that here or not. 2 So, you'd better think about whether or not you even 3 have jurisdiction over them. 4 MS. PIPKIN: Understood, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Now, you do have jurisdiction over the 6 Defendants, and somebody had better give notice to the United 7 States that I may order you to turn that stuff over. That I may allow you to use it, publicly. So, if they want to come in 8 here and assert themselves, they'd better hurry up and do it. MR. KEITH: Your Honor, the federal -- I have spoken 10 with Mr. Freeborne of the Department of Justice, and he is 11 aware of the motion hearing schedule. 12 1.3 THE COURT: You tell him that the Court is going to 14 turn it over, maybe, and he'd better get in here and assert his 15 rights if he wants to stop that. 16 MR. KEITH: I'll send him a followup letter, 17 informing him of that. 18 THE COURT: All right. I would call him, too. 19 Because, I don't want them to say they didn't get notice. 2.0 If you can make that earlier rather than later, that 2.1 would be good. But, I want you to work it out so that they are 22 heard on the same day. That's better. Because I think they're 23 overlapping too much to have two different hearings. 24 Okay? 25 MS. PIPKIN: (Nods head)

1 THE COURT: All right. 2 MR. FLYNN: I want to make -- sorry, Your Honor. So 3 many people speaking. I think it will be difficult, because 4 the Federal Government is not here, to force an earlier changed 5 schedule. Other than --6 THE COURT: Do it for the later date. MR. FLYNN: 7 Okay. THE COURT: Do it for the later date. But, I think 8 9 they should be an active participant in the briefing. And, we understand that. At least as a 10 MR. FLYNN: San Francisco motion, they will be. 11 MS. MAYO: Your Honor, I think -- we will work it out 12 13 with the Federal Defendants. I know that they are not pleased 14 about having travel to be here on the Wednesday before 15 Thanksqiving, which is the currently-scheduled date. THE COURT: Well --16 17 MS. MAYO: So, I think their preference would be to 18 be on the earlier date. But we'll -- we'll discuss with them 19 what makes the most sense. 2.0 THE COURT: They work for the United States. They 2.1 don't have the right to be -- just like me. They --22 MS. MAYO: I'm sure they would prefer to come just 23 once, so -- for one --24 THE COURT: Of course, the worst travel day of the 25 year is the day before Thanksgiving. Did you know that?

```
That's always been true. You don't want to be in O'Hare
 2
   Airport on the day before Thanksgiving.
 3
              MS. MAYO: Planes, trains and automobiles.
 4
              THE COURT: That's -- what more can I do for you
 5
   today?
 6
             MS. PIPKIN: I think that's it, Judge.
 7
              THE COURT: Please do a form of order that captures
   what we agreed on here, and send it up to me on Monday at noon.
 8
9
   Okay? Agree on it.
10
             MS. PIPKIN: Yes.
11
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
12
             MS. PIPKIN: Thank you.
1.3
             MS. MAYO: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
              (Proceedings concluded)
15
16
17
18
19
2.0
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, BELLE BALL, Official Reporter for the United States Court, Northern District of California, hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings in C 06-0545 WHA, Rahinah Ibrahim v.

Department of Homeland Security, et al., were reported by me, a certified shorthand reporter, and were thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting; that the foregoing is a full, complete and true record of said proceedings as bound by me at the time of filing.

The validity of the reporter's certification of said transcript may be void upon disassembly and/or removal from the court file.

_____/s/ Belle Ball_____

Belle Ball, CSR 8785, RMR, CRR
Monday, June 21, 2010